## Chapter 33

# 1. After passing through the first polarizer the initial intensity  $I_0$  reduces by a factor of 1/2. After passing through the second one it is further reduced by a factor of  $\cos^2 (\pi - \theta_1 - \theta_2) = \cos^2 (\theta_1 + \theta_2)$ . Finally, after passing through the third one it is again reduced by a factor of  $\cos^2 (\pi - \theta_2 - \theta_3) = \cos^2 (\theta_2 + \theta_3)$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{I_f}{I_0} = \frac{1}{2}\cos^2(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\cos^2(\theta_2 + \theta_3) = \frac{1}{2}\cos^2(30^\circ + 30^\circ)\cos^2(30^\circ + 30^\circ)$$
$$= 3.1 \times 10^{-2}.$$

Thus, 3.1% of the light's initial intensity is transmitted.

# 6. When examining Fig. 33-61, it is important to note that the angle (measured from the central axis) for the light ray in air,  $\theta$ , is not the angle for the ray in the glass core, which we denote  $\theta'$ . The law of refraction leads to

$$\sin\theta' = \frac{1}{n_1}\sin\theta$$

assuming  $n_{air} = 1$ . The angle of incidence for the light ray striking the coating is the complement of  $\theta'$ , which we denote as  $\theta'_{comp}$ , and recall that

$$\sin\theta_{\rm comp}' = \cos\theta' = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2\theta'}.$$

In the critical case,  $\theta'_{comp}$  must equal  $\theta_c$  specified by Eq. 33-47. Therefore,

$$\frac{n_2}{n_1} = \sin\theta'_{\rm comp} = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2\theta'} = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1}{n_1}\right)^2} \sin\theta$$

which leads to the result:  $\sin \theta = \sqrt{n_1^2 - n_2^2}$ . With  $n_1 = 1.62$  and  $n_2 = 1.53$ , we obtain

$$\theta = \sin^{-1} \left( 1.58^2 - 1.53^2 \right)^{0.5} = 32.2^{\circ}.$$

# 9. THINK We apply law of refraction to both interfaces to calculate the sideway displacement.

**EXPRESS** Let  $\theta$  be the angle of incidence and  $\theta_2$  be the angle of refraction at the left face of the plate. Let *n* be the index of refraction of the glass. Then, the law of refraction yields

$$\sin \theta = n \sin \theta_2$$

The angle of incidence at the right face is also  $\theta_2$ . If  $\theta_3$  is the angle of emergence there, then  $n \sin \theta_2 = \sin \theta_3$ .



**ANALYZE** (a) Combining the two expressions gives  $\sin \theta_3 = \sin \theta$ , which implies that  $\theta_3 = \theta$ . Thus, the emerging ray is parallel to the incident ray.

(b) We wish to derive an expression for x in terms of  $\theta$ . If D is the length of the ray in the glass, then  $D \cos \theta_2 = t$  and  $D = t/\cos \theta_2$ . The angle  $\alpha$  in the diagram equals  $\theta - \theta_2$  and

$$x = D \sin \alpha = D \sin (\theta - \theta_2).$$

Thus,

$$x = \frac{t\sin(\theta - \theta_2)}{\cos\theta_2}.$$

If all the angles  $\theta$ ,  $\theta_2$ ,  $\theta_3$ , and  $\theta - \theta_2$  are small and measured in radians, then  $\sin \theta \approx \theta$ ,  $\sin \theta_2 \approx \theta_2$ ,  $\sin(\theta - \theta_2) \approx \theta - \theta_2$ , and  $\cos \theta_2 \approx 1$ . Thus  $x \approx t(\theta - \theta_2)$ . The law of refraction applied to the point of incidence at the left face of the plate is now  $\theta \approx n\theta_2$ , so  $\theta_2 \approx \theta/n$  and

$$x \approx t \Theta - \frac{\theta}{n} \neq \frac{\Theta - 1 \Theta}{n}.$$

**LEARN** The thicker the glass, the greater the displacement *x*. Note in the limit n = 1 (no glass), x = 0, as expected.

# 42. (a) The condition (in Eq. 33-44) required in the critical angle calculation is  $\theta_3 = 90^\circ$ . Thus (with  $\theta_2 = \theta_c$ , which we don't compute here),

$$n_1 \sin \theta_1 = n_2 \sin \theta_2 = n_3 \sin \theta_3$$

leads to  $\theta_1 = \theta = \sin^{-1} n_3 / n_1 = 49.9^{\circ}$ .

(b) Yes. Reducing  $\theta$  leads to a reduction of  $\theta_2$  so that it becomes less than the critical angle; therefore, there will be some transmission of light into material 3.

(c) We note that the complement of the angle of refraction (in material 2) is the critical angle. Thus,

$$n_1 \sin \theta = n_2 \cos \theta_c = n_2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n_2}} = \sqrt{n_2^2 - n_3^2}$$

leading to  $\theta = 47.1^{\circ}$ .

(d) No. Reducing  $\theta$  leads to an increase of the angle with which the light strikes the interface between materials 2 and 3, so it becomes greater than the critical angle. Therefore, there will be no transmission of light into material 3.

# 55. (a) The wave is traveling in the -y direction (see §16-5 for the significance of the relative sign between the spatial and temporal arguments of the wave function).

(b) Figure 33-5 may help in visualizing this. The direction of propagation (along the *y* axis) is perpendicular to  $\vec{B}$  (presumably along the *x* axis, since the problem gives  $B_x$  and no other component) and both are perpendicular to  $\vec{E}$  (which determines the axis of polarization). Thus, the wave is *z* polarized.

(c) Since the magnetic field amplitude is  $B_m = 4.00 \ \mu$ T, then (by Eq. 33-5)  $E_m = 1199 \text{ V/m}$  $\approx 1.20 \times 10^3 \text{ V/m}$ . Dividing by  $\sqrt{2}$  yields  $E_{\text{rms}} = 848 \text{ V/m}$ . Then, Eq. 33-26 gives

$$I = \frac{I}{c\mu_0} E_{\rm rms}^2 = 1.91 \times 10^3 \,{\rm W} \,/\,{\rm m}^2.$$

(d) Since  $kc = \omega$  (equivalent to  $c = f \lambda$ ), we have

$$k = \frac{2.00 \times 10^{15}}{c} = 6.67 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-1}.$$

Summarizing the information gathered so far, we have (with SI units understood)

$$E_z = (1.2 \times 10^3 \text{ V/m}) \sin[(6.67 \times 10^6 / \text{ m})y + (2.00 \times 10^{15} / \text{s})t].$$

(e)  $\lambda = 2\pi/k = 942$  nm.

(f) This is an infrared light.